

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,888	05/10/2001	Eric Bryan Bond	8548	5436
27752 7:	590 03/31/2003			
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			EXAMINER	
			PRATT, CHRISTOPHER C	
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1771	

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003

4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Symmony	09/852,888	BOND ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Christopher C Pratt	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n app Period for Reply	ears on the c ver sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) owill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO.	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M	<u>//ay 2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-11 is/are withdrawn	from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 12-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language pro-						
Attachment(s)	,,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2-3	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/852,888

Art Unit: 1771

El ction/R strictions

Page 2

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6 and 13-14, drawn to a fiber, classified in class 428, subclass 364.
- II. Claims 7-11, drawn to a nonwoven fabric, classified in class 442, subclass 364.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as reinforcement for a polymer sheet and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1771

4. During a telephone conversation with Angela Stone on 3/20/03 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-6 and 13-14. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 7-11 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Page 3

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-6 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite because it contains the phrase "destructurized starch." This phrase is not defined in the specification.

Claim 1 is also indefinite because the specification fails to teach if the molecular weight is number average molecular weight or weight average molecular weight.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,888

Art Unit: 1771

Claim 1 is also indefinite because of the phrase "wherein **each** component...comprises a material from the group consisting of...starch...polymer..., and combinations thereof." This is indefinite because if each component is starch than the fiber is not multicomponent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-6 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lorcks et al (6096809) in view of Ryan et al (US 6506873 B1).

Lorcks is concerned with the creation of an environmentally degradable multicomponent fiber comprising destructurized starch, a biodegradable polymer having applicant's claimed molecular weight, and a plasticizer (abstract and col. 3, lines 4-6). Lorcks does not seem to teach creating said multicomponent fiber to have a sheath/core configuration.

Ryan is concerned with the creation of a degradable multicomponent fiber comprising destructurized starch (abstract and col. 10, lines 55-64). Ryan teaches the benefits of forming said fiber into a sheath/core fiber (col. 14, lines 22-28 and col. 22, lines 35-44). Ryan's fibers have a diameter of less than 200 micrometers, are splittable, and thermally bondable (col. 13, lines 10-19 and col. 14, lines 50-54). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

1 7

J

Page 5

arrange the components of Lorcks in various sheath/core configurations. Such a modification would have been motivated by the desire to achieve properties that would not otherwise be attainable using monocomponent fibers (col. 4, lines 7-9), such as dimensional stability, thermal stability, abrasion resistance, flame retardancy, and UV stability (col. 24, lines 35-40).

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Pratt whose telephone number is 703-305-6559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Christopher C. Pratt March 24, 2003